



Revenue
Per Subscriber

REVENUE PER SUBSCRIBER SCORECARD

A Self-Audit for Trading Education Companies
& Financial Newsletter Publishers



YOUR SCORE (1–5 FOR EACH STATEMENT)

SECTION
TOTAL

1	FREE-TO-PAID CONVERSION	1 —	2 —	3 —	4 —	5 —	___/25
2	WELCOME SEQUENCE QUALITY	1 —	2 —	3 —	4 —	5 —	___/25
3	PROMOTIONAL CALENDAR	1 —	2 —	3 —	4 —	5 —	___/25
4	OFFER SEQUENCING	1 —	2 —	3 —	4 —	5 —	___/25
5	RENEWAL SYSTEMS	1 —	2 —	3 —	4 —	5 —	___/25
6	POST-PURCHASE ASCENSION	1 —	2 —	3 —	4 —	5 —	___/25
7	EDUCATIONAL PRE-SELL	1 —	2 —	3 —	4 —	5 —	___/25
8	SEGMENTATION & CAMPAIGN ASSIGNMENT	1 —	2 —	3 —	4 —	5 —	___/25
9	PROOF & TEASER LOGIC	1 —	2 —	3 —	4 —	5 —	___/25
10	REACTIVATION & LIST RECOVERY	1 —	2 —	3 —	4 —	5 —	___/25

SCORING KEY

Score each statement
from 1 to 5.

- 1** Not true / mostly absent
- 2** Weak / inconsistent
- 3** Partially in place
- 4** Strong
- 5** Very strong / fully intentional

SCORE INTERPRETATION

200–250

STRONG SUBSCRIBER MONETIZATION INFRASTRUCTURE

You likely have real systems in place. Your biggest gains may now come from optimization, tighter targeting, better timing, and stronger campaign execution.

150–199

SOLID FOUNDATION, LEAKY EXECUTION

There is meaningful structure here, but revenue is likely leaking through inconsistency, weak sequencing, or underused subscriber intelligence.

100–149

UNDER-MONETIZED SUBSCRIBER BASE

You likely have more revenue opportunity in the current audience than you are capturing. Several systems may exist in partial form, but they are not working together.

BELOW 100

REVENUE IS LEAKING IN MULTIPLE PLACES

This usually points to a monetization architecture problem, not just a traffic problem. There is probably substantial upside hiding in better campaigns, better sequencing, and stronger lifecycle systems.



TOTAL SCORE

Add all 10 section totals.

___/250